Development of a good cost-effective strategy for conducting experiments / tests while exploiting previous knowledge using metalearning

MAP-I Doctoral Thesis Proposal for the 2008/09 Edition

1. Context / Background

Many situations require that the best method be identified for a given case. Let us consider several scenarios of this type.

Imagine, for instance that there are N possible treatments to choose from. Normally each leads to certain quantifiable benefits, while incurring certain costs. The benefits and costs are, in general, different from case to case.

A similar situation arises also when employing software algorithms. Let us consider here classification algorithms whose aim is to classify new cases. The classifiers need to be trained and the time spent in training represents again costs. The trained versions provide then a classification of new cases. Different classifiers provide solutions of different quality. Some achieve higher accuracy rates than others.

Yet another situation arises when applying the operation in production. Often different options can be taken, depending on which production process is followed (choice of machine, parameters of machining, choice of materials etc.). The result of the process can again be characterized by different quality and costs.

In all these cases the knowledge of what happened in the past can be useful when deciding what to do in a new situation. It has been shown that (meta)learning methods can be useful to determine what to do in a new situation. One possibility is to identify promising candidates and then carry out a a limited series of tests. These in turn determine which strategy is to be followed in the end.

2. Objectives

The aim of this thesis work is to enhance the existing methodology concerning how to proceed when determining the best strategy for a new problem. The work can be oriented towards classification algorithms, but other domains mentioned above could also be considered. The aim is to exploit the knowledge of what happened in the past (often referred to as metaknowledge), when deciding what to do in a new situation, identify promising candidates and then carry out a a limited series of tests. The result of these tests would be used to determine which strategy is to be finally followed.

The first objective of this thesis work is to consider the existing strategies, select one and adapt / improve it for the new setting. One other issue that this thesis work should answer is which strategy should be used for the construction of the meta-database. The aim would be to avoid conducting all possible experiments, which is in principle is possible, but incurs high costs. The solution should seek a good compromise between exploration and exploitation.

It is conceivable that the experiments to carry out should focus on alternatives that have achieved similar rating in the past, while giving preference to the highest performers. The work could exploit for instance, the idea of ELO ranking used to plan chess games, or other similar ranking to determine who is qualified, say, for a tennis tournament.

Instead of carrying out all experiments from scratch, the work could exploit the existing databases of experimental results (e.g., the one at U. Leuven).

3. Supervision and Proponent Institution

Pavel Brazdil, http://www.liaad.up.pt/~pbrazdil/ LIAAD - INESC Porto L.A., University of Porto Rua de Ceuta 118 - 6°, 4050-190 Porto pbrazdil@liaad.up.pt

References

Rui Leite, Pavel Brazdil , An Iterative Process for Building Learning Curves and Predicting Relative Performance of Classifiers, Progress In Artificial Intelligence, Proceedings of the 13th Portuguese Conference on Artificial Intelligence Workshops (EPIA 2007), Volume 4874, page 87--98 - December 2007

Pavel Brazdil, Christophe Giraud-Carrier, Carlos Soares, R. Vilalta, Meta-Learning: Applications to Data Mining, to be published by Springer – 2008

Pavel Brazdil, Carlos Soares, Joaquim P. Costa, Ranking Learning Algorithms: Using IBL and Meta-Learning on Accuracy and Time Results, Machine Learning, Volume 50, Number 3, page 251-277 - March 2003